

# A Horn Constraint Solver based on Inductive Theorem Proving

Hiroki Sakamoto Sho Torii Shu Nakao Hiroshi Unno (University of Tsukuba)

## Background

Verification problems of programs in various paradigms  
imperative, logic, concurrent, functional, ...  
can be reduced to **Horn constraint solving problems**

### Verification Problem

```
let rec mult x y =
  if y=0 then 0 else x + mult x (y - 1)

let rec mult_acc x y a =
  if y=0 then a
  else mult_acc x (y - 1) (a + x)
```

$\forall x,y,a. (\text{mult } x \ y) + a = (\text{mult\_acc } x \ y \ a)$

reduce

### Horn Constraint Solving Problem

$\mathcal{H}_{\text{mult}}$

$P(x, 0, 0).$   
 $P(x, y, x+r) :- P(x, y-1, r) \wedge y \neq 0.$

$Q(x, 0, a, a).$   
 $Q(x, y, a, r) :- Q(x, y-1, a+x, r) \wedge y \neq 0.$

Unknown invariant of mult      Unknown invariant of mult\_acc

$P(x, y, r1) \wedge Q(x, y, a, r2) \models r1+a=r2$   
**Is there a substitution for P and Q that satisfies all the constraints?**

## Applications

### 1. Verif. of higher-order programs

```
type list = Nil | Cons of int * list
let rec sum_list l = match l with
| Nil -> 0 | Cons(x,xs) -> x + sum_list xs
let rec foldl f s l = match l with
| Nil -> s | Cons(x,xs) -> foldl f (f s x) xs
let plus x y = x + y
 $\forall l. \text{sum\_list } l = \text{foldl plus } 0 \ l$ 
```

reduce

$P(\text{Nil}, 0). P(\text{Cons}(x, l'), x+r) :- P(\dots).$   
 $R(\dots). R(\dots) :- \dots$   
 $P(l, r1) \wedge R(0, l, r2) \models r1=r2$

prove

Spec. Satisfied!

### 2. Verif. of user-defined ADTs

```
type list =
  Nil | Cons of int * list
let rec append l ys = ...
let rec drop n l = ...
let rec take n l = ...
 $\forall n, l. \text{append } (\text{take } n \ l) \ (\text{drop } n \ l) = l$ 
```

reduce

$P(\text{Nil}, l2, l2). P(\dots) :- \dots$   
 $Q(\dots). Q(\dots) :- \dots$   
 $R(\dots). R(\dots) :- \dots$   
 $\forall n, l. P(\dots) \wedge Q(\dots) \wedge R(\dots) \models r=1$

prove

Spec. Satisfied!

### 3. Verif. of programs that may raise exceptions

```
let rec find p l = match l with
| [] -> raise Not_found
| x::xs -> if p x then x else find p xs
let rec find_opt p l = match l with
| [] -> None
| x::xs -> if p x then Some x else
find_opt p xs
 $\forall p, l. \text{try find\_opt } p \ l = \text{Some } (\text{find } p \ l)$   

with Not_found -> find_opt p l = None
```

reduce

$\dots$   
 $Pex(\dots, \text{Not\_found}) \wedge Q(\dots, r2) \models \text{None}=r2$

prove

Spec. Satisfied!

### 4. Verif. of heap-manipulating programs

(with Nam Mai and Tachio Terauchi)

```
let rec zero_list x = match !x with
| Nil -> true
| Cons(d, l) -> if d=0 then zero_list l else false
let rec init_list x = match !x with
| Nil -> () | Cons(d, l) -> init_list l; x:=Cons(0, l)
 $\forall x. (\text{init\_list } x) \Rightarrow (\text{zero\_list } x)$ 
```

reduce

$\text{Zero\_list}(h, x) :- h=(x \mapsto \text{Nil}) * h2.$   
 $\text{Zero\_list}(h, x) :- \text{Zero\_list}(h', l), h=(x \mapsto \text{Cons}(0, l)) * h'.$   
 $\text{Init\_list}(h, x, h) :- h=(x \mapsto \text{Nil}) * h2.$   
 $\text{Init\_list}(h, x, h') :- \text{Init\_list}(h2, l, h3),$   
 $h=(x \mapsto \text{Cons}(d, l)) * h2, h'=(x \mapsto \text{Cons}(0, l)) * h3.$   
 **$\forall h, x, h'. \text{Init\_list}(h, x, h') \models \text{Zero\_list}(h', x)$**

prove

Spec. Satisfied!

### 5. Verif. of nonlinear spec.

```
let rec sum n =
  if n<0 then n + sum (n+1)
  else if n=0 then 0
  else n + sum (n-1)
 $\forall n. n \geq 0 \Rightarrow 2 * \text{sum } n = n * (n+1)$ 
```

reduce

$P(0, 0). P(\dots) :- P(\dots) \wedge x < 0.$   
 $p(x, r+x) :- P(x-1, r) \wedge x > 0.$   
 $\forall n. P(x, r) \wedge x \geq 0 \models 2 * r = x * (x+1)$

prove

Spec. Satisfied!

## Extensions

### 1. Coinduction on copredicates (with Seich Shinomiya)

```
merge(Cons(a, as), bs, Cons(a, cs)) :- merge(bs, as, cs).
even(Cons(x1, Cons(x2, xs)), Cons(x1, ys))
:- even(xs, ys).
equal(Cons(l, ls), Cons(r, rs)) :- l=r, equal(ls, rs).
 $\forall u, v, r1, r2. \text{merge}(u, v, r1), \text{even}(r1, r2) \models \text{equal}(r2, u)$ 
```

prove

Solvable!

### 2. Relational program synthesis

```
let rec mult x y = ...
let rec mult_acc x y a = ?
 $\forall x, y, a. (\text{mult } x \ y) + a = (\text{mult\_acc } x \ y \ a)$ 
```

reduce

Horn Constraint Abduction Problem

solve

Definition of mult\_acc

## Our Horn Constraint Solver (Demo Available!)

### Approach : Inductive theorem proving

1. Reduce **Horn constraint solving problems** into **validity checking of first-order formulas with inductively defined predicates**, then
2. Check by **induction on the derivation** of the predicates

### Automation of inductive proofs

- (1) A new proof system tailored to Horn constraint solving
- (2) Discharge proof obligations arising in the proof search using
  - PDR-based Horn constraint solver, and
  - SMT solver
- (3) Exploit lemmas, which are
  - User-supplied,
  - Heuristically obtained from the given constraints, or
  - Automatically generated by an abstract interpreter

### Advantages

Our method can

- (1) deal with **constraints over any background theories** supported by the underlying SMT solver  
nonlinear arithmetics, algebraic data structures, and heaps
- (2) enable verification of **relational specifications** across programs in various paradigms  
functional equivalence, associativity, commutativity, monotonicity, ...
- (3) enable verification of recursive functions that are possibly **non-deterministic, higher-order, exception-raising, and over non-inductively defined data types**

### Example : Inductive Proof for $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mult}}$

$$\frac{\vdash y \neq 0 \wedge (r_1 - x) + (a + x) = r_2 \Rightarrow r_1 + a = r_2}{\text{Valid}}$$

$$\frac{\phi; C \wedge y \neq 0 \wedge (r_1 - x) + (a + x) = r_2 \vdash r_1 + a = r_2}{\text{Apply}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\phi; C \wedge y \neq 0 \vdash r_1 + a = r_2}{\text{Unfold}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\phi; B \wedge y \neq 0 \vdash r_1 + a = r_2}{\text{Unfold}}$$

$$\frac{\phi; A \vdash r_1 + a = r_2}{A \vdash r_1 + a = r_2} \text{Induct}$$

$\phi = \forall x', y', r_1', r_2'. (P(x', y', r_1') \wedge Q(x', y', a', r_2') \Rightarrow r_1' + a' = r_2') \wedge$   
 $A = P(x, y, r_1) \wedge Q(x, y, a, r_2)$   
 $B = A \wedge P(x, y - 1, r_1 - x)$   
 $C = B \wedge Q(x, y - 1, a + x, r_2)$